## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

		T 73 73 7	D T 4	<b>~T T</b>
TAM	MYI	$_{L}YNN$	BEA	GLE.

Plaintiff,

CASE NO. 1:19-CV-952

v.

HON. ROBERT J. JONKER

COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY,

Defendant.	

## ORDER APPROVING AND ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

The Court has reviewed Magistrate Judge Green's Report and Recommendation in this matter (ECF No. 14), Plaintiff's Objections (ECF No. 15), and Defendant's Response (ECF No. 16). Under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, where, as here, a party has objected to portions of a Report and Recommendation, "[t]he district judge . . . has a duty to reject the magistrate judge's recommendation unless, on de novo reconsideration, he or she finds it justified." 12 WRIGHT, MILLER, & MARCUS, FEDERAL PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE, § 3070.2, at 451 (3d ed. 2014). Specifically, the Rules provide that:

The district judge must determine de novo any part of the magistrate judge's disposition that has been properly objected to. The district judge may accept, reject, or modify the recommended disposition; receive further evidence; or return the matter to the magistrate judge with instructions.

FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b)(3). De novo review in these circumstances requires at least a review of the evidence before the Magistrate Judge. *Hill v. Duriron Co.*, 656 F.2d 1208, 1215 (6th Cir. 1981). The Court has reviewed de novo the claims and evidence presented to the Magistrate Judge; the Report and Recommendation itself; Plaintiff's objections; and Defendant's response. The Court

finds the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation, which recommends affirming the

decision of the ALJ, factually sound and legally correct.

The ALJ determined that Plaintiff was not disabled. The Magistrate Judge found that

substantial evidence supports the ALJ's decision. In her Objections, Plaintiff reiterates and

expands arguments she made in her original briefing. The Magistrate Judge carefully considered

and properly rejected these arguments. Nothing in Plaintiff's Objections changes the fundamental

analysis. Substantial evidence supports the ALJ's decision, for the very reasons detailed in the

Report and Recommendation.

**ACCORDINGLY, IT IS ORDERED**:

1. The Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge (ECF No. 14) is

approved and adopted as the opinion of the Court.

2. Plaintiff's Objections (ECF No. 15) are **OVERRULED**.

3. The Commissioner's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

Dated: December 9, 2020

/s/ Robert J. Jonker

ROBERT J. JONKER

CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2